



GOLDEN SANDS

RESOURCE CONSERVATION & DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL, INC.

1100 Main Street, Suite #150

Stevens Point, WI 54481

Phone (715) 343-6215

www.goldensandsrcd.org

Conservation That Works!

West Branch Millpond, Waushara County Point Intercept Aquatic Plant Survey August 21st & 22nd, 2018

Mr. Simono,

Golden Sands Resource Conservation & Development Council, Inc (RC&D) completed a Point Intercept Aquatic Plant Survey (PI Survey) on West Branch Millpond on Aug. 21-22, 2018. The survey was completed to capture the plant community and the density of Eurasian watermilfoil (EWM) in the Millpond following a hand-pulling project. This monitoring data can be used to show progress over time and used by Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources staff to understand what type of management is needed for EWM.

Benefits of Aquatic Plants


Aquatic plants are an important part of the state's wet ecosystems. They produce oxygen and help protect water quality. They help clarify water in wetlands, lakes and rivers by using nutrients like phosphorus and nitrogen that might otherwise be used to produce algal blooms. Aquatic plants help reduce wave action and current flow which reduces shoreland erosion and helps stabilize sediments in the waterbody. Perhaps most apparent, plants provide, food, shelter and habitat for fish, invertebrates and all sorts of wildlife. Finally, diverse, healthy plant communities can help prevent invasive species from establishing. Invasive species are more likely to become established in disturbed areas.

Aquatic Invasive Species

Aquatic invasive species (AIS) are plants or animals that are not native to a particular area and dominate an area where they are introduced. They can be very successful because they fill a niche that isn't occupied, are able to tolerate a wider range of living conditions, they don't have any natural predators or diseases or perhaps they begin growing earlier. EWM, curly leaf pondweed and purple loosestrife are common examples of AIS. AIS can threaten an area both ecologically and economically. They can disrupt food chains and degrade habitat which negatively impacts fish, invertebrates and wildlife. Nuisance levels of AIS can reduce or even prevent recreational opportunities like fishing, boating, wildlife watching, etc... These reduced recreational opportunities have negative impacts to the local and statewide economy. AIS such as zebra mussels can negatively impact water quality, food chains, aquatic habitat, recreation and industry. Unfortunately the effects of AIS are difficult to foresee since the degree of impact can vary greatly from one place to another. One system may be completely taken over by AIS while AIS in another nearby system may become a part of the community and have little to no negative effects.

Point Intercept Aquatic Plant Surveys

Point intercept (PI) surveys are completed by traveling to predetermined GPS points across the lake. Each PI lake map is based on the area and depth specific to that lake. The maps with GPS coordinates are obtained through the WDNR. West Branch Mill Pond contains 251 sample points. Using a GPS, staff traveled by kayak to each of the GPS points. At each point a two-sided rake was used to sample roughly a one foot area of the lake bottom. Sediment type (sand, rock or muck), water depth in half foot increments and the aquatic plant community was recorded. Once the rake is brought to the surface the amount of plant material on the rake is assessed and recorded. The overall fullness of plants on the rake is rated a one, two or three (see illustration to the left). Then the individual species are ranked using a one, two or three. All data is recorded on the PI worksheet. Plants seen within six feet of the sample point are recorded as a “visual”. (Figure 1 shows map with survey points and EWM locations.) Other plants seen on the lake are recorded as a “boat survey”. To learn more about PI sampling methods and how data is collected please visit:



<http://www.uwsp.edu/cnr-ap/UWEXLakes/Documents/ecology/Aquatic%20Plants/PL-Protocol-2010.pdf>

Frequency of occurrence is the percentage of time a species is found out of the total number of points sampled. Not all sample points are capable of supporting plant growth. *Littoral frequency of occurrence* is how often a species is found out of the total number of points that support plant growth. (Shown in Table 1) The deepest depth where plant growth is found is called *maximum depth of plant growth*. *Species richness* is the total number of different species found on the rake while sampling points. *Floristic Quality Index (FQI)* is the ranking of the plants in the lake that compares to an undisturbed lake. The higher the FQI the closer the plant community is to that of an undisturbed system. Approximately 250 lakes across Wisconsin are used to calculate the statewide and ecoregion averages for comparison. Table 2 summarizes the lake’s littoral frequency of occurrence, maximum depth of plant growth, species richness and FQI.

It should be noted that plant species may differ from year to year on the following Table 1. GPS coordinates are accurate only within twenty feet and plant communities can shift. Table 1 represents only those species which were detected on the rake during the survey.

Table 1: Species Present

% Littoral frequency of occurrence: This is calculated by taking the total number of times a species is recorded divided by the total number of points in the lake where plant growth is possible.

* means a non-native species, potentially invasive.


| Common Name | Scientific Name | Plant type: floating leaf, free floating, submergent, emergent | % Littoral Frequency of Occurrence |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|
| Muskgrasses | <i>Chara sp.</i> | submergent | 73.42 |
| Wild celery | <i>Vallisneria americana</i> | submergent | 39.66 |
| Southern naiad | <i>Najas guadalupensis</i> | submergent | 32.91 |
| Nothern water milfoil | <i>Myriophyllum sibiricum</i> | submergent | 24.05 |
| Sago pondweed | <i>Stuckenia pectinata</i> | submergent | 22.78 |
| *Eurasian water milfoil | <i>Myriophyllum spicatum</i> | submergent | 12.66 |

| | | | |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|-------------|
| Fries pondweed | <i>Potamogeton friesii</i> | submergent | 10.97 |
| Common bladderwort | <i>Utricularia vulgaris</i> | submergent | 8.02 |
| White-stem pondweed | <i>Potamogeton praelongus</i> | submergent | 7.59 |
| Common waterweed | <i>Elodea canadensis</i> | submergent | 6.33 |
| Coontail | <i>Ceratophyllum demersum</i> | submergent | 4.22 |
| Illinois pondweed | <i>Potamogeton illinoensis</i> | submergent | 4.22 |
| Flat-stem pondweed | <i>Potamogeton zosteriformis</i> | submergent | 3.8 |
| White water crowfoot | <i>Ranunculus aquatilis</i> | submergent | 2.95 |
| Slender naiad | <i>Najas flexilis</i> | submergent | 2.11 |
| Filamentous algae | ----- | Free floating | 1.69 |
| Water star-grass | <i>Heteranthera dubia</i> | submergent | 1.69 |
| Nitella sp | <i>Nitella sp.</i> | submergent | 1.27 |
| Aquatic moss | ----- | submergent | 0.84 |
| *Curly-leaf pondweed | <i>Potamogeton crispus</i> | submergent | 0.84 |
| Fine-leaved pondweed | <i>Stuckenia filiformis</i> | submergent | 0.84 |
| Horned pondweed | <i>Zannichellia palustris</i> | submergent | 0.42 |
| Large-leaf pondweed | <i>Potamogeton amplifolius</i> | submergent | 0.42 |
| Leafy pondweed | <i>Potamogeton foliosus</i> | submergent | 0.42 |
| Robbin's Spikerush | <i>Eleocharis robbinsii</i> | submergent | 0.42 |
| Small duckweed | <i>Lemna minor</i> | free floating | Visual only |
| Turion duckweed | <i>Lemna turionifera</i> | free floating | Visual only |
| White water lily | <i>Nymphaea odorata</i> | Floating leaf | Visual only |

Table 2: Lake Survey Summary

| | Lake | Statewide Average | North Central Hardwoods Forests Ecoregion Average |
|--------------------------------------|-------|-------------------|---|
| Littoral Frequency of Occurrence (%) | 95.36 | 74.3 | 76.0 |
| Maximum Depth of Plant Growth | 20 | 15.3 | 15.9 |
| Species Richness | 23 | 16.8 | 16.2 |
| Floristic Quality Index (FQI) | 28.17 | 24.1 | 23.3 |

Figure 1: EWM Sites and Densities



If there are any questions regarding the PI survey or results please contact Golden Sands RC&D, Anna Cisar, anna.cisar@goldensandsrcd.org (715) 343-6215